IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

ALEJANDRO BELLO,

Petitioner,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:18-CV-59 (BAILEY)

ENTZEL, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James P. Mazzone [Doc. 22]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Mazzone for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Mazzone filed his R&R on February 19, 2019, wherein he recommends that respondent's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 15] be granted, and petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] be dismissed with prejudice.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In

1

addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the right

to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363.

1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here,

objections to Magistrate Judge Mazzone's R&R were due within fourteen (14) days of service,

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The docket reflects that service

was accepted on February 26, 2019 [Doc. 23]. To date, no objections have been filed.

Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and

Recommendation [Doc. 22] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons

more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, respondent's Motion to

Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 15] is hereby GRANTED

and petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] is hereby

DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. This Court further ORDERS that this matter be

STRICKEN from the active docket of this Court and DIRECTS the Clerk to enter judgment

in favor of respondent.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record herein

and to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: March 18, 2019.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2